

 DEVELOP Project Feedback Form

 NODE NAME HERE

**Project Short Title (Year Term)**

**Project Reflections**

***Does the team consider this project to be successful?***

Insert 2-3 sentences here about why or why not. It’s ok to be candid here! And if the project proved that it is not feasible to use EO for a certain application that can still be considered a successful project, but the fact that the EO couldn’t be used for the intended application should be discussed prominently. The teams feedback along with the PSI score will help us identify the types of projects that are successful, as well as why some aren’t as successful so we can make improvements in the future.

***If you had the opportunity to do this project again, what would you do differently?***

Insert 2-5 sentences here highlighting changes that you would make.

***Is this project planned to continue into another term? If so, please reflect on why you think it should or should not.***

Yes/No. Please reflect on the strength of the partnership (ex. no contact with partner after week three) and any other feasibility issues (ex. data availability, the coding required to accomplish the objectives is not appropriate scope for a DEVELOP project) supporting why the project should or should not continue. Please be candid and note that your feedback will be considered in project planning, but would not be the only deciding factor in a project’s continuation.

***Recommendations to future teams pursuing a similar project?***

Insert 1-3 recommendations here in sentence form.

**NASA Earth Observation Data**

*Insert Satellite & Sensor 1 Names Here* (Insert DOI Here) --- ex. *Landsat 8 OLI* (<https://doi.org/10.5066/f78s4mzj>)

* **Source**: Where you got the data from – ex. URL if downloaded **or** name of Science Team if you received it directly the data (i.e. downloaded from Earth Data Search, from science advisors/science teams, etc.)
* **General Overview**: General insight into ease of use, any other issues that arose, etc. (ex. We had no issues accessing, downloading, pre-processing or analyzing the data. There were substantial references and information available to support use of Landsat 8 data.)
* **Acquisition**: Feedback (ex. Earth Explorer was straightforward to use and we did not have any acquisition problems **or** Download link didn’t work and directions unclear, etc.)
* **Processing/Analysis**: Feedback input (ex. No issues when processing the Landsat data, analysis went smoothly, etc.)

**Partner Engagement**

*Insert Partner Org 1 Name Here (End User* ***or*** *Collaborator)* --- ex. *National Park Service, Yellowstone National Park (End User)*

* **Involvement**: How much interaction, speak to engagement (ex. They were very engaged during the term, joining telecons each week. They provided good feedback on preliminary results throughout the term and provided an interview and other footage for our VPS video.)
* **Responsiveness**: Were they punctual and responsive to team communications, providing data by when they said they would, etc.? (ex. The partner joined each telecon, and was responsive by email throughout the term.)
* **Capacity Built**: How did the team build their capacity? What can they now do that they couldn’t before? (ex. They now have access to EO-derived wildfire fuel loading maps that enhance their land management abilities and support targeted fuel removal activities. They did not previously have the knowledge or methodology to create these maps using NASA EO. They now have a 2017 fuel load map, as well as the ability to make future fuel load maps themselves.)
* **Further Collaboration**: would this partner be a good future DEVELOP partner? Why/why not? (ex. They were an engaged and active partner, which provided a good experience.)

**Culminating Research Questions Generated**

**Team-Identified Future Work:**

* The team should identify opportunities where continued work would benefit the project – ex. validation & accuracy assessments, additional study period or study area to include more data, applying a different model or algorithm, etc.
* Future work is often listed in the final presentation and sometimes the poster, feel free to copy and paste in into here. But do ensure that it’s fairly specific so that future teams could understand and take into consideration.

**Partner-Identified Follow-On Research Questions:**

* Identify any additional questions or lines of research that your partners are interested in pursuing now that they have seen your results and products
* What additional research questions and topics would they like to pursue themselves or have DEVELOP pursue in the future? What has your project sparked them to consider?
* Use the information from emails, telecon conversations, and feedback given during partner meetings and the project handoff to complete this section.
* We realize it may be limited, but do your best to glean what you can from your partner interactions.