

National Aeronautics and Space Administration



CENTRAL CALIFORNIA DISASTERS

Incorporating Satellite-Derived Precipitation and Soil Moisture Products into Flood Preparedness and Emergency Management in California

Chanice Brown Shagun Sengupta

Abhinav Banthiya

Jan Hery





Pop-Up Project | Spring 2024

TEAM INTRODUCTION

Shagun Sengupta

M.S. Geospatial Data Science





Abhinav Banthiya

M.A. Climate and Society







Chanice Brown

B.A. Geography

PARTNER



California Department of Water Resources

- Manages California's water resources
- Performs duties like Flood Preparedness
 combined with Emergency Management
- Point of contact:
 - **Dr. Mike Anderson**, California State Climatologist
 - **Dr. MD Haque**, Senior Engineer & Supervising Engineer/Manager Risk Assessment

The CA DWR is interested in improving their risk assessments for water on the ground.



Image Credit: CA DWR, Sand boil 1997

COMMUNITY CONCERNS



Image Credit: Steve Payer, Flooded American River Parkway in 2006



Economic Impacts



Property Damage and Loss



Public Safety and Health Risks



Infrastructure Damage

COMMUNITY CONCERNS

- California December
 2022 to March 2023
 - Dozens of atmospheric rivers
 - 6 flooding events
 - Integrated water vapor transport (IVT) > 300% to 500% than normal
 - Highest percentages in the Central Valley
 - Over 200,000 buildings lost power
 - 6,000 evacuations



Image Credit: Kenneth James, repair work of levee breach on Deer Creek

PROJECT OVERVIEW

- Study Area
 - Central California
 - Focus: Salinas Valley Watershed
- Study Period
 - November 2022 April 2023
 - At least 12 Atmospheric Rivers
- Analysis Focus
 - Precipitation
 - Soil Moisture

Case Study Focus

- Bradley, California
- January 14th & March 10th



EARTH OBSERVATIONS (EO's)



PROJECT FOCUS

What is the goal of this project?

- Incorporating NASA EO's of:
 - Precipitation
 - Soil Moisture
- Combined with:
 - Land Use
 - Flood Inundations
 - Urban Flood Risk Model (Blue-Spot)
 - Social Vulnerability Index



"Can NASA EOs help better assess **pluvial flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?"



Image Credit: Andrew Innerarity, Hydrant in standing water on Gate 5 Road in Sausalito



Precipitation Analysis

Use GPM IMERG late run data to assess the feasibility of using satellite precipitation measurements in areas with sparse ground observations.



Precipitation AnalysisImage: Soil Moisture AnalysisImage: Soil Moisture AnalysisImage: Soil Moisture AnalysisImage: Soil Moisture Analysis

Identify flooding extent in Salinas Watershed across the study period to identify locations within the valley that are susceptible to flooding.



METHODOLOGY: Flood Contributors



GPM IMERG

GPM IMERG Final Run V07



GPM IMERG Late Run V06



GPM Final Run v. Late Run

Precipitation measurement - Final Run



Precipitation measurement - Late Run



Both observations taken on 2/25/2023

Insights

GPM IMERG Final Run

ation on an average

with rain gauge data.

underestimated precipit

of 33% when compared

Precipitation measurement comparison - BLM



Precipitation estimates from BLM rain gauge and closest identified GPM pixels at 35.35° N latitude and 120.34999 °W longitude



Can we identify **flood-prone** areas with SMAP?

- 9km daily SMAP data
- A lot of data gaps see example to the right
- Working with at least 3-day averages





Soil Moisture Summary

- Ratio of the volume of water present in the soil to the total volume of soil (VWC)
- Saturation means that soil pores are filled with water, and no more can be absorbed
- Around **50-60%**



Time (Days where we have data)

Actual flooding events are **represented** in the soil moisture line graph.





Event of Flooding Number 1, 2022-12-27

How can we identify **flood-prone areas** based on the soil moisture?

Export coordinates of identified data points and hand them over to create the bivariate plot

Rainfall and Soil Saturation

METHODOLOGY: Land Cover

LAND USE/LAND COVER

METHODOLOGY: Flood Risk

Sentinel-1 – Possible Flood Inundations

What is it?

- Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) imagery
- Not affected by cloud contamination
- Passes over every 6-12 days

Why is it relevant?

- Can detect standing water
- In cases of pluvial flooding by ARs, there is near constant cloud cover

How did we do it?

- Compared images from before and passover closest to AR events for 14th January and 10th March
- Set a threshold of -22 dB

Possible Flood Inundations

POTENTIAL FLOODING: Blue Spot Model

Basemap Credit: Esri Community Maps Contributors, California State Parks, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, USFWS

POTENTIAL FLOODING: Blue Spot Comparison

Basemap Credit: Esri Community Maps Contributors, California State Parks, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, USFWS

SOCIAL VULNERABILITY

CONCLUSIONS

Flooding Contributors

GPM underestimates precipitation rates by an average of 33% as compared with in situ measurements due to beam-filling errors.

SMAP shows soil moisture played a role in the flooding of the 2022-2023 atmoshperic events.

Flood Risk

Sentinel 1 C-SAR imagery is good for detecting standing water and creating flood maps.

Blue Spot model is useful for identifying potential for pluvial flooding sites based on elevation.

Social Vulnerability

Study results suggest a positive relationship between flooding and **vulnerability**.

Topography and **land cover** play an important role in flood risk vulnerability.

Can NASA EOs help better **assess** pluvial **flooding** using GPM and SMAP data?"

FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

Flooding Contributors

Use a model to track changes in water budget between atmospheric river events

Use imagery of a finer resolution for soil moisture plots

Flooding Flood Risk

Explore the feasibility of using of Near Real Time imagery

Consider geospatial recordkeeping for past floods

Image Credit: Ken James, floodwaters inundating River Road

Acknowledgments

DEVELOP Lead

Isabel Lubitz, Maryland – Goddard

California Department of Water Resources

- Dr. MD Haque
- Dr. Michael Anderson
- Weihua (Wayne) Li

Science Advisor

• Dr. Venkataraman Lakshmi, University of Virginia

Others

- Dr. Kenton Ross, NASA Langley Research Center
- Dr. Xia Cai, NASA Langley Research Center
- Marisa Smedsrud, DEVELOP
 Project Coordination Fellow
- Benjamin Goffin, University of Virginia
- Dr. Bin Fang, University of Virginia

This material contains modified Copernicus Sentinel data (2022 - 2023), processed by ESA.

This material is based upon work supported by NASA through contract 80LARC23FA024. Any mention of a commercial product, service, or activity in this material does not constitute NASA endorsement. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and partner organizations.

GPM IMERG – Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis to measure accuracy and performance of satellite data

| Sl No. | Rain Gauge | Satellite Product | CC | Relative Bias (%) | ME (mm) | RMSE (mm) | POD | FAR |
|-----------|---------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------|-------|
| 1 | ARY | Final Run V07 | 0.843 | -48 | 9.9 | 17.6 | 0.931 | 0.069 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.872 | -52.5 | 9.7 | 18.4 | 0.965 | 0.152 |
| 2 | PKF | Final Run V07 | 0.834 | -8.5 | 4.5 | 8.7 | 0.857 | 0.2 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.813 | -44.2 | 5.3 | 9.8 | 0.929 | 0.212 |
| 3 | PSB | Final Run V07 | 0.915 | -5.9 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 0.913 | 0.25 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.822 | -29.3 | 3.7 | 7.1 | 0.869 | 0.31 |
| 4 | PAS | Final Run V07 | 0.834 | -20.6 | 5.5 | 10.4 | 0.852 | 0.179 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.741 | -46.9 | 6.3 | 13.2 | 0.889 | 0.172 |
| 5 | BLM | Final Run V07 | 0.789 | -54.4 | 14 | 31.5 | 0.833 | 0.2 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.851 | -72 | 15.5 | 34.8 | 0.917 | 0.29 |
| 6 | SMB | Final Run V07 | 0.751 | -60.9 | 19.6 | 40.1 | 0.957 | 0.214 |
| | | Late Run V06 | 0.728 | -69.2 | 20.2 | 42.8 | 0.957 | 0.29 |

Precipitation measurement comparison - PKF

Category Category PKF PKF 35 🛑 Final Run Final Run 50 Late Run Late Run Precipitation (mm/day) 30 Precipitation (mm/day) 40 25 30 20 15 20 10 10 5 0 0 2022-12-27 2023-01-08 2023-01-14 2023-02-27 2023-03-28 2023-03-10 2022-12-27 2023-01-08 2023-01-14 2023-02-27 2023-03-10 2023-03-28

Dates

Precipitation measurement comparison - PSB

Dates

SMAP Spatial Resolution: 1km, 2023-01-19

SMAP Spatial Resolution: 9km, 2023-01-19

