

Assessing the Drivers of Urban Flood Vulnerability in Milwaukee using the Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs Urban Flood Risk Mitigation Model (InVEST)



Abstract

Milwaukee County has experienced an increase in flooding due to climate change and urbanization. The frequency and severity of flooding vary spatially due to differences in land cover, surface permeability, and infrastructure. Marginalized communities tend to experience disproportionately high flooding and damage due to infrastructural inequalities and limited access to resources. To quantify these differences, we used the Natural Capital Project's Integrated Valuation of Ecosystem Services and Tradeoffs (InVEST) Urban Flood Risk Mitigation Model to calculate and create maps of runoff retention, nominal flood depth, and economic damage to buildings in Milwaukee. Our model inputs included land cover, surface permeability, and rainfall. To inform our precipitation inputs, we used NASA's Integrated Multi-satellite Retrievals for Global Precipitation Measurement (GPM IMERG) and National Weather Service (NWS) data. We assessed the relationship between flood risk and social and environmental spatial data including redlining, racial demographics, greenspace, and community resilience. The data demonstrate that flood risk is higher in historically redlined neighborhoods, majority Hispanic and Black census block groups, areas that lack parks and trees, and areas of low community resilience as measured by the Census Bureau's Community Resilience Estimates (CRE). These findings will support our partners, Groundwork Milwaukee and Groundwork USA, in their efforts to promote the equitable distribution of resources and support environmental health in urban spaces. The end products of this project provide our partners with tools to assess urban flooding vulnerability, guide future intervention projects, quantify the effects of environmental injustice, and improve stakeholder access to data.

Objectives

- **Quantify** the spatial distribution of pluvial flood risk using the InVEST Urban Flood Risk Mitigation Model's runoff retention, nominal flood depth, and economic damage outputs.
- Analyze the relationship between flood risk and historic redlining, racial demographics, green spaces, and community resilience estimates.
- Contextualize the InVEST model's results using the CityCAT flood risk map, NDWI, and DEM-derived streams

Study Area



Earth Observations



Methodology



Grade A, developing Grade B, developed Grade C, declining Grade D, declined	Majority Black Majority White Majority Hispanic	Less Green Space	More Green Space	Less Risk

Racial Demographics

Team Members



Historic Redlining







Madeleine Tango Jack Acomb Project Lead



Remi Work Assistant Fellow

More

Risk

Project Partners

The NASA DEVELOP team partnered with Groundwork Milwaukee & Groundwork USA.



Average runoff retention was 67% higher in areas with parks or tree cover (30.44 m3) compared to areas without (18.26 m3).

Conclusions



Runoff retention is slightly worse in areas of low community resiliency, compounding the dangers of a flood disaster.

- InVEST does not account for hydrologic flow, elevation, sewer infrastructure, riverine (fluvial flooding), or social vulnerability.
- InVEST corroborates the known phenomenon that flood risk disproportionally impacts marginalized groups due to decades of infrastructure disinvestment.
- InVEST is useful as a tool to evaluate community flood risk, but potentially challenging to implement.

Acknowledgements

Partners: Groundwork USA and Groundwork MKE - Keviea Guiden, Young Kim, Lawrence Hoffman, Jess Haven, and John Valinch

Advisors: Dr. Kenton Ross & Lauren Childs-Gleason

DEVELOP Support: Marco Vallejos (VEJ), Remi Work (VEJ Assistant), Paxton LaJoie (MSFC)

Previous Teams: Summer 2021 Cincinnati and Covington Urban Development Team

Summer 2022 | Virtual Environmental Justice



This material is based upon work supported by NASA through contract NNL16AA05C. Any mention of a commercial product, service, or activity in this material are those of the author (s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and part ner organization of a commercial product, service, or activity in this material are those of the author (s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and part ner organization