**Project Short Title (Year Term)**

**Project Reflections**

**Does the team consider this project to be successful?**

Insert 2-3 sentences here about why or why not you consider this project successful. It’s ok to be candid here! If the project proved that it is not feasible to use a specific EO for a certain application, it can still be considered a successful project; if so, make sure to amply discuss why the EO couldn’t be used for the intended application. The team’s feedback, along with the PSI score, will help us identify the types of projects that are successful, as well as why some projects aren’t as effective. Both help us to make improvements in the future.

**If you had the opportunity to do this project again, what would you do differently?**

Insert 2-5 sentences here highlighting changes that you would make.

**Is this project planned to continue into another term? If so, please reflect on why you think it should or should not.**

Yes/No. Please reflect on the strength of the partnership (e.g., no contact with partner after week three) and any other feasibility issues (e.g., data availability, the coding required to accomplish the objectives is not appropriate scope for a DEVELOP project) supporting why the project should or should not continue. Please be candid and note that your feedback will be considered in project planning, but will not be the only deciding factor in a project’s continuation.

**Do you have any recommendations for future teams pursuing a similar project to consider?**

Insert 1-3 recommendations here in sentence form.

**Earth Observation Data**

*Insert Satellite & Sensor Names Here* (Insert DOI Here) e.g., *Landsat 8 OLI* (<https://doi.org/10.5066/f78s4mzj>)

* **Parameter**: (e.g., surface reflectance)
* **Source**: Where did you get the data from? Include the URL if downloaded **or** the name of Science Team from whom you directly received the data (e.g., downloaded from Earth Data Search, received from science advisors/science teams, etc.)
* **Was a long term trend analysis completed**: Yes or no. If yes, include dates. (ex. May to August, 2014-2023)
* **Download to local computer**: Yes or no. If yes, include total file size. (ex. ~50 GB)
* **General Overview**: What are some of your general insights into ease of use or issues that arose? (e.g., We had no issues accessing, downloading, pre-processing, or analyzing the data, there were substantial references and information available to support use of Landsat 8 data.)
* **Acquisition**: What is your feedback on data acquisition? (e.g., Earth Explorer was straightforward to use, we did not have any acquisition problems, the download link didn’t work and directions unclear, etc.)
* **Processing/Analysis**: What is your feedback on data processing/analysis? (e.g., We had no issues when processing the Landsat data, analysis went smoothly, etc.)
	+ **Tool(s) used**: (e.x. Python Version 3.2 via Jupyter Notebook)

**Other Data Acquisition and Analysis Considerations**

**Did any team members attend the DAAC webinars at the beginning of the term? If so, please list which ones and whether or not they were helpful for data acquisition.**

Yes/No. If yes, please list which meetings that your team attended and reflect upon whether or not they helped you in your data acquisition workflow. If possible, be specific about what was helpful and what was not.

**Partner Engagement**

*Insert Partner Org Name Here (End User* ***or*** *Collaborator)* e.g., *National Park Service, Yellowstone National Park (End User)*

* **Involvement**: How much interaction did they have with you? (e.g., They were very engaged during the term, joined telecons each week, provided good feedback on preliminary results throughout the term, provided an interview and other footage for our VPS video, etc.)
* **Responsiveness**: Were they punctual and responsive to team communications, did they provide data by when they said they would, etc.? (e.g., The partner joined each telecon, was responsive by email throughout the term, etc.)
* **Capacity Built**: How did the team build their capacity? What can they now do that they couldn’t before? (e.g., They now have access to EO-derived wildfire fuel loading maps that enhance their land management abilities and support targeted fuel removal activities, they did not previously have the knowledge or methodology to create these maps using NASA EO, they now have a 2017 fuel load map, as well as the ability to make future fuel load maps themselves, etc.)
* **Further Collaboration**: Would this partner be a good DEVELOP partner for future projects? Why or why not? (e.g., They were an engaged and active partner, which provided a good experience.)

**Culminating Research Questions Generated**

**Team-Identified Future Work:**

* The team should identify opportunities where continued work would benefit the project. (e.g., validation & accuracy assessments, additional study period or study area to include more data, applying a different model or algorithm, etc.)
* Future work is often listed in the final presentation; feel free to copy and paste that text here. Make sure to ensure that it’s fairly specific so that future teams can readily understand your notes and take them into consideration.

**Partner-Identified Follow-On Research Questions:**

* Use the information from emails, telecon conversations, and feedback given during partner meetings and the project handoff to complete this section.
* We realize it may be limited, but do your best to glean what you can from your partner interactions.
* Identify any additional questions or lines of research that your partners are interested in pursuing now that they have seen your results and products.
* What additional research questions and topics would they like to pursue themselves or have DEVELOP pursue in the future? What has your project sparked them to consider?